

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,984 03/02/2004		Dong-young Moon	Q80223	9663	
23373	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
SUITE 800	TEVANIA AVENUE,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037	2621			

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Asticus Communication		10/789,9	34	MOON, DONG-YOUNG				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		Andy S. F		2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=	, 							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Page 2

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Civanlar et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Civanlar") in view of Anderson et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Anderson").

Civanlar discloses a multiplexing video decoding method for receiving bit streams (Civanlar: column 12, lines 35-40), each of said bit streams comprising a plurality of slices and received in a plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 6, lines 15-30), and decoding the bit stream of each of the plurality of channels in a multiplexed mode (Civanlar: column 10, lines 1-10), the multiplexing video decoding method comprising the steps of: decoding video signals, wherein the decoding includes decoding a predetermined unit of a bit stream of one channel from the plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 10, lines 10-20); sequentially switching to decode a predetermined unit of a bit stream for each of the other plurality of channels (Civanlar: column

٠

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/789,984

Art Unit: 2621

•

10, lines 39-51); and decoding in a multiplexed mode bit streams of the plurality of a channels by repeating the above steps for a next slice in each of the plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 11, lines 15-60), as in claim 1. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the syntax processor obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Anderson discloses a transport demultiplexor (Anderson: column 8, lines 29-35) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Anderson: column 9, lines 40-60) in order to maintain stream continuity (Anderson: column 10, lines 15-25). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Anderson syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, has all of the features of claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, that the predetermined unit is a slice of a bitstream (Civanlar: column 10, lines 15-20), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 3, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, discloses incrementing an index value for a register corresponding to one of the plurality of channels to obtain information for a current channel when a decoding switching function is called (Civanlar: column 9, lines 40-55), as in the claim.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, discloses that video parameters and a program status are to decoded as specified (Civanlar: column 9, lines 30-35), as in the claims.

Regarding claim 5, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, discloses switching a task inside a waiting loop (Civanlar: column 11, lines 40-45), as in the claim.

Civanlar discloses a task switching method for switching channels to be decoded in signals of a plurality of channels in a multiplexing video decoding method (Civanlar: column 6. lines 15-30), the task switching method (Civanlar: figure 5), comprising the steps of: incrementing an index register value for a register corresponding to one of the plurality of channels to obtain information for a current channel when a task switching function is called (Civanlar: column 9, lines 40-56); finishing task switching if video decoding of the current channel corresponding to the index value is enabled (Civanlar: column 11, lines 40-45), and otherwise, incrementing the index register value and then switching to a next task (Civanlar: column 11, lines 25-35), as in claim 6. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the finishing task obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Anderson discloses a transport demultiplexor (Anderson: column 8, lines 29-35) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Anderson: column 9, lines 40-60) in order to maintain stream continuity (Anderson: column 10, lines 15-25). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Anderson syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a

Art Unit: 2621

ij.

program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar method, now incorporating the Anderson step of obtaining a program state, has all of the features of claim 6.

Civanlar discloses a multiplexing video decoding apparatus for receiving bit streams (Civanlar: column 12, lines 35-40), each of said bit streams comprising a plurality of slices and received in a plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 6, lines 15-30), and decoding the bit stream of each of the plurality of channels in a multiplexed mode (Civanlar: column 10, lines 1-10), the multiplexing video decoding method comprising: a plurality of FIFO units for transmitting in a first in first out manner the bit streams of the plurality of channels in parallel (Civanlar; column 10, lines 25-35); a syntax processor for cyclically decoding the bit streams of the plurality of channels output from the plurality of FIFO units at a slice interval (Civanlar: column 10, lines 39-55); a video processor for reproducing the bit stream of a corresponding channel decoded by the syntax processor into video data according to a predetermined video reproduction format (Civanlar: column 10, lines 5-20), as in claim 7. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the syntax processor obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Anderson discloses a transport demultiplexor (Anderson: column 8, lines 29-35) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Anderson: column 9, lines 40-60) in order to maintain stream continuity (Anderson: column 10, lines 15-25). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Anderson syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order

Art Unit: 2621

0

maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar apparatus, now incorporating the Anderson syntax processor that obtains a program state, has all of the features of claim 7.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Independent claim 8 recites a multiplexing video decoding apparatus reciting the novel feature of "...a processor for selecting a channel to be decoded by slice by cyclically incrementing the index value of the index register and to obtain a program state corresponding to the program counter value that has been pushed into the respective one of the plurality of stacks for the channel. Dependent claims 9-13 are allowed for the reasons concerning independent claim 8. Accordingly, if rejected claims 1-7 are canceled, the application would be placed in a condition for allowance.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

ANDY RAO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7

asr September 16, 2006